

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,353	06/09/2005	Abolghassem Pakdaman	GIL-15763	9541	
	7590 04/18/200 L, PORTER & CLARI		EXAMINER		
925 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 700 CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1405 BUSHEY, CHARLES S				CHARLES S	
CLEVELAND,	OH 44115-1405		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1724	•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	THE	04/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			_ th/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·•
Office Action Summary	10/516,353	PAKDAMAN, ABOLGHASSEM	
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
TL. MAU INO DATE AND	Scott Bushey	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	5S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr . cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this commu	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	arch 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 and 15-17 is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,14 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 November 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objection of accepted or b) \boxtimes objection of acceptance. Since is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Sta	ge . ·
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-24-05.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species G, Fig. 9 in the reply filed on March 5, 2007 is acknowledged.

With respect to applicant's remarks pertaining to the claims that read on the elected species, it is agreed that claim 4 does indeed read on the elected species, and therefore, claims 1-12, 14, and 18-20 have been examined herein on the merits. Claims 13, and 15-17 are withdraw from further consideration, as being drawn to a non-elected species of the invention.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the cooling means, as recited by instant claim 11, and the manometer, as recited by instant claim 14, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Art Unit: 1724

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Application/Control Number: 10/516,353 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-12, 14, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1-6, the phrase "sieve-like" renders the claims indefinite because the claims include elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claims unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 20 provides for the use of the device of claim 1, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/516,353

Art Unit: 1724

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10, 12, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Curtis (Figs. 5, 7, and 8; col. 4, lines 1-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtis taken together with Hirose et al.

Art Unit: 1724

Curtis (Figs. 5, 7, and 8; col. 4, lines 1-28) as applied above substantially discloses applicant's invention as recited by instant claims 3, 4, 8, 9, and 11, except for the perforated plates having different sized perforations on alternating plates, and the device being constructed from a specific steel material and having cooling means associated therewith.

Hirose et al (Abstract; Figs. 1, 2A, and 3A; col. 2, line 59) disclose a fluid mixing apparatus similar in construction to that of Curtis, wherein alternating plates (7,11) have perforations of differing sizes to assist in mixing of the fluids. Hirose et al also construct their device from robust metal construction with cooling channels (15) therein. It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to provide alternating plates of the Curtis device with perforations of differing sizes, in view of Hirose et al, to assist in the turbulizing and thus mixing of the fluids being acted on by the apparatus. Further, wherein Hirose et al clearly disclose a metal construction with cooling means therein, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to construct the mixing apparatus of Curtis with a metallic, cooled casing, since such would provide a reliable mixing chamber that would allow for operation under a wide range of pressures and temperatures, if desired. Furthermore, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to utilize any known metal for the construction of the device, including those as recited by instant claims 8 and 9, since use of a known material based upon its suitability for the desired purpose, has been established by the Court as evidence of obviousness.

11. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtis taken together with any one of Lockey, Seidel, and Rubin.

Curtis (Figs. 5, 7, and 8; col. 4, lines 1-28) as applied above substantially discloses applicant's invention as recited by instant claims 5 and 6, except for the gas inlet means including a multiple layer, tubular device with different sized perforations within the different layers thereof.

Lockey (Fig. 1; page 1, col. 1, lines 53-55; page 1, col. 2, lines 1-19, 39-54),
Seidel (Fig. 8), and Rubin (Figs. 3 and 4) each alternatively disclose gas inlet means
into a gas/liquid mixing device, wherein the gas passes through multiple layers having
different sized perforations during its passage through the inlet means into the mixing
chamber, which assists in breaking the gas stream up into small bubbles that are able
to better contact and mix with a liquid phase. It would have been obvious for an artisan
at the time of the invention, to provide the apparatus as taught by Curtis, with a multilayer, gas inlet means having different sized perforations in the different layers, in view
of any one of the alternative secondary references, since such would improve the
contact between the phases by breaking up the incoming gas into smaller bubbles.

12. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtis taken together with Smith.

Curtis (Figs. 5, 7, and 8; col. 4, lines 1-28) as applied above substantially discloses applicant's invention as recited by instant claim 14, except for the manometer on the gas supply.

Art Unit: 1724

Smith (h in Fig. 1) disclose a gas/liquid mixing device similar to that of Curtis, wherein there is a manometer means (h) for measuring and adjusting the pressure difference between the gas and liquid within the device, thereby controlling the amount and velocity of the gas stream entering and passing through the device. It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to provide the apparatus of Curtis with a pressure measuring and equalizing means, such as a manometer, in view of Smith, since such would allow for the ease of controlling a consistently produced product stream.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is 571 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,353

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Scott Bushey **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1724

Page 9

csb 4-10-07